IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

WEDNESDAY, THE 3RD OCTOBER 2007 / 11TH ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 28042 of 2007(L)

PETITIONER:

C. MARIYAM, W/O. KABEER HAJI,
CHOLAYIL HOUSE, VILAYAL P.O., MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.B.PRADEEP

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE STATE OF KERALA,**
   REPRESENTED BY THE HOME SECRETARY,
   SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. **THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,**
   KOZHIKODE.

3. **THE CHIEF ENGINEER,**
   ROADS DIVISION, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,KOZHIKODE.

4. **THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,**
   MUKKOM POLICE STATION, MUKKOM P.O., KOZHIKODE.

5. **THE MUKKOM GRAMA PANCHAYAT,**
   MUKKOM P.O., KOZHIKODE DISTRICT, REPRESENTED BY
   ITS SECRETARY.

6. **KUNJAVARAN P.,**
   PRESIDENT, VYAPARI VYAVASAYI SAMITHI,
   MUKKOM UNIT, KOZHIKODE.

7. **KAPPIYEDATH CHANDRAN,**
   PRESIDENT, VYAPARI VYAVASAYI EKOPANA SAMITHI,
   MUKKOM UNIT, KOZHIKODE.

8. P.P. ASOKAN,
SECRETARY, VYAPARI VYAVASAYI SAMITHI,
MUKKOM UNIT, KOZHIKODE.

9. SUBAIR ASANALI, S/O. KUSANAYI,
ANAYAMKUNNU, KOZHIKODE.

10. MUSH OOD M.,
PARAVATHANI, MUKKOM P.O., KOZHIKODE.

11. MALIK AHMED,
PC OPTICALS, P.C.ROAD, MUKKOM, KOZHIKODE.

12. VADOOD REHMAN,
ALFA GLASS AGENCIES, MUKKOM, KOZHIKODE.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.I.V.PRAMOD

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 03/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.
==========
W.P.(C) NO. 28042 OF 2007
==========

Dated this the 3rd day of October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The complaint of the petitioner is that an unauthorized thatched shed is put up right in front of the shopping complex owned by him. Petitioner had made a complaint before the 5th respondent Panchayath, which in turn has forward the matter to the 3rd respondent by Ext.P2. The present grievance of the petitioner is that there has not been any progress since forwarding Ext.P2.

2. Learned Government Pleader confirms the pendency of Ext.P2 before the 3rd respondent.

3. In view of this, I dispose of this writ petition directing that the 3rd respondent shall take action on Ext.P2 as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of six weeks of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

Rp